**DEPUTY WHIP** 

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEES:

LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

## Congress of the United States House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515-3604

PLEASE REPLY TO:

2458 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515 (202) 225-6165

2420 Springer Drive
 Suite 120
 Norman, OK 73069
 (405) 329–6500

☐ 711 SW D AVENUE SUITE 201 LAWTON, OK 73501 (580) 357–2131

☐ SUGG CLINIC OFFICE BUILDING 100 EAST 13TH STREET, SUITE 213 ADA, OK 74820 (580) 436–5375

## Why I'm Voting Against the House Substitute Amendment to S. 47

## Dear Republican Colleague:

I want to let you know why I will vote against the House substitute to S. 47, the Violence Against Women Act ("VAWA"). While the House substitute to VAWA has improved tremendously over what this body passed last Congress, it falls short of giving tribes what they need to keep their women safe.

Unlike the Senate version, the House substitute fails to recognize existing tribal sovereignty that is enshrined in the Constitution by requiring tribes to seek DOJ certification before exercising jurisdiction over non-Indian offenders, and waives tribes' sovereign immunity. It doesn't make sense to force tribes to abdicate part of their sovereignty to exercise another part of their sovereignty.

Like most Republicans, I believe in moving control away from the federal government towards local governments. Tribal governments are local governments, and tribes do a good job of taking care of tribal citizens when they have the resources to do so. Tribes do not support the House substitute to VAWA because it does not adequately recognize sovereignty or give them the tools they need to combat violence against Indian women. I trust the tribes to understand their needs best, and that is why I will vote against the House substitute and in favor of the Senate VAWA bill, S. 47.

Sincerely,

Tom Cole

**Member of Congress**